# **Modal analysis of corticothalamic dynamics, electroencephalographic spectra, and evoked potentials**

P. A. Robinson,<sup>1,\*</sup> P. N. Loxley,<sup>1,†</sup> S. C. O'Connor,<sup>1</sup> and C. J. Rennie<sup>1,2,3</sup>

1 *School of Physics, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia*

2 *Department of Medical Physics, Westmead Hospital, Westmead, New South Wales 2145, Australia*

3 *Brain Dynamics Center, Department of Psychological Medicine, Westmead Hospital and University of Sydney,*

*Westmead, New South Wales 2145, Australia*

(Received 3 October 2000; published 29 March 2001)

The effects of cortical boundary conditions and resulting modal aspects of continuum corticothalamic electrodynamics are explored, including feedbacks. Dispersion relations, electroencephalographic spectra, and stimulus response functions are calculated from the underlying physiology, and the effects of discrete mode structure are determined. Conditions under which modal effects are important are obtained, along with estimates of the point at which modal series can be truncated, and the limit in which only a single globally uniform mode need be retained. It is found that for physiologically plausible parameters only the lowest cortical spatial eigenmode together with the set of next-lowest modes can produce distinct modal structure in spectra and response functions, and then only at frequencies where corticothalamic resonances reduce dissipation to the point where the spatial eigenmodes are weakly damped. The continuum limit is found to be a good approximation, except at very low frequencies and, under some circumstances, near the alpha resonance. It is argued that the major electroencephalographic rhythms result from corticothalamic feedback resonances, but that cortical modal effects can contribute to weak substructure in the alpha resonance. This mechanism is compared and contrasted with purely cortical and pacemaker-based alternatives and testable predictions are formulated to enable experimental discrimination between these possibilities.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.63.041909 PACS number(s): 87.10.+e, 87.19.La, 87.18.-h, 87.19.Nn

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

In recent work, we developed a physiologically based continuum model of corticothalamic electrodynamics that is able to reproduce and unify the main features of observed EEGs, including the discrete spectral peaks, or rhythms, seen in waking and sleeping states  $[1-6]$ . In most of these papers we argued that the typical damping rate of cortical waves is sufficiently large that boundary conditions make little difference to their properties. However, it has long been recognized that, if frequency ranges exist in which damping is small, the effects of discrete eigenmode structure in the finite cortex will be important  $[7,8]$ . Our recent work on corticothalamic feedback indicates that damping is indeed weakened by such feedback at frequencies close to the spectral rhythms, especially the alpha and beta rhythms near 10 and 20 Hz, respectively, in the waking state, and theta rhythm and sleep spindles near 5 and 15 Hz, respectively, in sleep  $[9]$ .

In our model, the above rhythms result from resonances in a corticothalamic feedback loop, rather than lying at the frequencies of purely cortical eigenmodes; however, their weak damping opens the possibility that cortical eigenmode effects may also be important near these resonances, even if not elsewhere. Hence, a key aim of this paper is to reconcile these two views of the production of EEG resonances by

incorporating modal effects explicitly into our model and determining their influence semiquantitatively. A further motivation for the present work is the reasonably common observation of split-band alpha activity, displaying two discrete alpha frequencies in a single individual, typically separated by 1–2 Hz. It is plausible that these peaks may represent nondegenerate eigenfrequencies that happen to occur in the frequency range in which damping is weakened by corticothalamic feedback effects. By unifying treatments of corticothalamic feedbacks and cortical modal effects, we will determine the feasibility of such a mechanism and contrast it with other possible explanations such as the existence of multiple pacemakers or subcortical loops with different resonant frequencies.

A further motivation for our work arises from the fact that scalp EEGs are spatially large scale because (i) the cortical signal is spatially low-pass filtered by the effects of volume conduction in overlying tissues  $[7,8,10]$ , (ii) electrodes are relatively widely spaced in practice, leading to coarse spatial resolution, (iii) some rhythms are intrinsically spatially extended, and (iv) the least damped modes are the largest scale ones  $[1,2]$ . In previous work we used these features to justify exploring spatially uniform, or global, cortical dynamics as a first approximation to the overall cerebral electrodynamics [2]. Here we extend these ideas to the corticothalamic system and explore how many modes are needed to represent the dynamics well enough to predict spectra and the potentials evoked by discrete stimuli  $[4]$ .

Recent work has showed that some seizure EEGs have simple structures that imply that the underlying dynamics is low dimensional [11], possibly following a strange attractor or limit cycle in a relatively simple parameter space. There

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: robinson@physics.usyd.edu.au

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Department of Physics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia 6009, Australia.

has also been considerable interest in possible nonlinear aspects of the alpha rhythm  $[12]$ . By verifying the dominance of a few low-order modes, the analysis carried out here provides a natural and systematic means of obtaining truncated, low-dimensional systems of equations with the potential of reproducing the nonlinear dynamics of seizures.

In Sec. II we briefly review and generalize the corticothalamic model developed in our previous work, including both intracortical and corticothalamic feedbacks. We then impose boundary conditions and expand the resulting equations in a series of spatial eigenmodes in Sec. III and find equations for the time evolution of the expansion coefficients. Section IV is concerned with modal predictions for spectra and the circumstances under which modal effects are important. A similar discussion of response functions applicable to steady state evoked potentials and evoked response potentials is presented in Sec. V. In Sec. VI we critically discuss a range of mechanisms for the production of spectral resonances, especially split-band alpha rhythms, including new possibilities implied by the results obtained here, and formulate testable predictions to enable experiments to discriminate between them.

# **II. THEORY**

In this section we outline the main relevant results of our neurophysical continuum model of the corticothalamic system, and its predictions of EEG spectra and evoked potentials [5], generalizing them where relevant. Readers should see Ref. [5] for further details and additional references. In this section we consider the case of an infinite cortex in which boundary conditions play no role.

## **A. Basic model**

The mean firing rates (or *pulse densities*)  $Q_a$  of excitatory  $(a=e)$  and inhibitory  $(a=i)$  neurons are approximately related to the cell-body potentials  $V_a$  by

$$
Q_a(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum [V_a(\mathbf{r},t)],\tag{1}
$$

where the sigmoidal function  $\Sigma$  increases monotonically from 0 to a maximum  $Q_a^{\max}$  as  $V_a$  increases from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ . Two such forms of  $\Sigma$  used below are

$$
\Sigma_1(V_a) = \frac{Q_a^{\max}}{1 + \exp(-\pi z/\sqrt{3})},
$$
 (2)

$$
\Sigma_2(V_a) = Q_a^{\max} \frac{z - 1 + (z^2 + 1)^{1/2}}{2z},\tag{3}
$$

$$
z = (V_a - \theta_a) / \sigma_a, \qquad (4)
$$

where  $\theta_a$  is the mean threshold of neurons,  $\sigma_a$  is the standard deviation of this threshold,  $Q_a^{\text{max}}$  is the maximum firing rate, and  $\Sigma(\theta_a) = Q_a^{\text{max}}/2$ . The coordinate **r** in (1) refers to position on the cortex, modeled as a two-dimensional sheet. For later reference, the inverses of  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  are

$$
\Sigma_1^{-1}(y) = \theta_a + \frac{\sigma_a \sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{y/Q_a^{\text{max}}}{1 - y/Q_a^{\text{max}}} \right),\tag{5}
$$

$$
\Sigma_2^{-1}(y) = \theta_a + \sigma_a \frac{\frac{y}{Q_a^{\max}} - \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{y}{Q_a^{\max}} \left(1 - \frac{y}{Q_a^{\max}}\right)}.
$$
 (6)

The potential  $V_a$  can be written [5]

$$
V_a(\mathbf{r},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(t-t')P_a(\mathbf{r},t')dt',\tag{7}
$$

$$
L(u) = \alpha^2 u e^{-\alpha u} \Theta(u), \qquad (8)
$$

where  $P_a$  is the mean potential generated by action potentials arriving from other neurons,  $\Theta$  is the unit step function, and  $\alpha$  is a rate constant. Hence,

$$
D_{\alpha}V_{a}=P_{a},\qquad \qquad (9)
$$

$$
D_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} + 1.
$$
 (10)

The Fourier transform of *L*(*u*), is

$$
L(\omega) = (1 - i\omega/\alpha)^{-2},\tag{11}
$$

which implies that the dendrites act as a low-pass filter with cutoff frequency  $\alpha$ .

The potential  $P_a$  comprises contributions  $\phi_{e,i}$  from other cortical neurons, and subcortical inputs  $\phi_s$ :

$$
P_a = N_{ae} s_e \phi_e + N_{ai} s_i \phi_i + N_{as} s_s \phi_s. \tag{12}
$$

Here,  $N_{ab}$  is the mean number of couplings from neurons of type  $b=e,i,s$  to those of type *a*, and  $s<sub>b</sub>$  is the size of the response to a unit signal from neurons of type *b*.

The field  $\phi_a$  of outgoing pulses propagates at  $v = 5 - 10$  m  $s^{-1}$  and obeys the damped wave equation

$$
D_a \phi_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = Q_a(\mathbf{r}, t), \qquad (13)
$$

$$
D_a = \frac{1}{\gamma_a^2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 2 \gamma_a \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \gamma_a^2 - v^2 \nabla^2 \right],\tag{14}
$$

where  $\gamma_a = v/r_a$  and  $r_a$  is the range of axons *a*.

Our model incorporated corticothalamic (CT) feedback [13–16], by assuming that  $\phi_s$  is the sum of a non-CT part  $\phi_N$  and a feedback  $\phi_T$ , which originates where part of the excitatory field  $\phi$  projects to the thalamus, then returns to the cortex. This adds a propagation time delay  $t_0$  and  $n \approx 1$ extra stages of dendritic filtering with rate constant  $\eta \approx \alpha$ . Our previous work showed that the approximation  $n=1$  was adequate, and we assume it henceforth  $[5]$ . We also allowed for the possibility of both direct feedback, and feedbacks that emphasize changes in cortical signals by differentiating them in the loop. These features yield

$$
D_{\alpha}\phi_T(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{G_{ee}}{G_{es}} \int_0^{\infty} dt_0 \int d^D \mathbf{r}' \left[ \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t_0) + \psi'(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t_0) t_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \phi_e(\mathbf{r}', t - t_0), \quad (15)
$$

where the prefactor on the right is separated out to simplify later algebra, and  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  measure the strengths of direct and differential feedbacks. Equation  $(15)$  generalizes our earlier results by including possible dependences of the feedback on  $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'$ , and  $t_0$ , whereas these were previously treated as being spatially constant and delta function in time  $[5]$ . More generally, there are likely to be relatively slow dependences of  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  on *t* itself—in changing between states of arousal, for example—but we ignore these here, simply adopting the appropriate values for the given state.

Local intracortical feedbacks are also possible. Previous analysis showed that a broad class of such feedbacks can be written  $\lceil 3 \rceil$ 

$$
D_{xy}^{j}[x(\mathbf{r},t) - x^{(0)}] = \chi_{xy}[y(\mathbf{r},t) - y^{(0)}],
$$
 (16)

$$
D_{xy} = \frac{1}{\eta_{xy}} \frac{d}{dt} + 1,\tag{17}
$$

where  $\eta_{xy}$  is a time constant, *j* is a small non-negative integer,  $\chi_{xy}$  is the linear susceptibility of a quantity *x* to changes in another quantity  $y(x_{xy}$  could more generally be positiondependent and/or nonlinear), *x* is a feedback-dependent variable with steady-state value  $x^{(0)}$ , and *y* is a variable of steady-state value  $y^{(0)}$  that drives the feedback. Typically,  $x = s_b$  or  $\theta_a$  and  $y = \phi_e$  or  $V_e$ . We found that the resulting wave dispersion relations fell into only four distinct classes, greatly simplifying their analysis  $[3]$ . In places below we use feedback of  $\phi$  on  $s$  as an illustrative example, for which the relevant feedback equation can be written  $[4]$ 

$$
D_{s\phi}[s_b(\mathbf{r},t) - s_b^{(0)}] = \chi_{s\phi}[ \phi_e(\mathbf{r},t) - \phi_e^{(0)}]. \tag{18}
$$

#### **B. Steady states**

Upon setting all the spatial and temporal derivatives to zero in  $(1)$ – $(18)$ , these equations determine the steady states of cortical activation, when the cortex is driven by a constant, spatially uniform non-CT stimulus  $\phi_N$ . By analogy with Ref.  $[2]$ , one finds

$$
\Sigma^{-1}(\phi_e) = [N_{ee} s_e (1 + \psi) + N_{ei} s_i] \phi_e + N_{es} s_s \phi_N, (19)
$$

in the steady state.

The structure of the solutions of  $(19)$  is easily seen in the case in which  $\chi_{sV} = 0$ , implying  $s_b = s_b^{(0)}$ . In this case, the left-hand side of  $(19)$  is monotonic increasing with a downward curvature for  $\phi_e < Q_e^{\text{max}}/2$  and upward curvature for larger  $\phi_e$ , as illustrated in Fig. 1, while the right-hand side of (19) is linear in  $\phi_e$ . Hence, either one or three solutions exist  $[2]$ . When three solutions are found, the middle one



FIG. 1. Determination of steady states. Solid and broken lines show schematic forms of left-hand and right-hand sides of  $(19)$ , respectively, in cases with three roots, with the dotted line for  $\chi_{s\phi}$  $=0$ , the dashed line for  $\chi_{s,d} > 0$ , and the dotted–dashed line for  $\chi_{s\phi}$  < 0. In drawing this figure it is assumed that  $N_{ee} s_e(1+\phi)$  $+N_{ei}s_i>0$  is satisfied, so that the straight line has a positive slope.

represents an unstable equilibrium, the lower corresponds to normal activity, and the upper to a high firing rate seizurelike state  $[2]$ .

If  $\chi_{s\phi} \neq 0$ , the form of the right-hand side of (19) is modified by the replacement

$$
s_b = s_b^{(0)} + \chi_{s\phi} \left[ \phi_e - \phi_e^{(0)} \right].
$$
 (20)

Equation  $(20)$  yields a quadratic form for the right-hand side of (19), as illustrated in Fig. 1. If  $\Sigma = \Sigma_2$ , the steady state equation (19) becomes a quartic in  $\phi_e$ . The topology of the loci of the left-hand and right-hand sides of  $(19)$  in this case is such that an odd number of solutions must occur between  $\phi_e = 0$  and  $\phi_e = \phi_e^{\text{max}} = Q_e^{\text{max}}$ , a conclusion that also follows from the requirement that stable and unstable steady states alternate, with stable ones at both ends of the sequence  $[2]$ . Hence, at least one of the four roots lies outside the physical range, and at least one lies in it. The result that either 1 or 3 roots lie in the physical range can be assumed to apply to any other forms of  $\Sigma$  that incorporate robust features of the physics—further roots might be possible if  $\Sigma$  had a specially chosen form, but would not be robust (although they might correspond to pathological states).

The effect of increasing the external stimulus  $\phi_N$  is to shift the straight line and quadratic curves up in Fig. 1. Hence, as has been discussed previously  $[2]$ , there should be one low- $\phi_e$  root at very low (or perhaps negative)  $\phi_N$ , with two more roots appearing at intermediate  $\phi_N$ , and a single high- $\phi_e$  root at very high  $\phi_N$ .

#### **C. Linear waves**

Small perturbations relative to the steady states of the previous subsection obey a linear wave equation. For constant  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$ , with a single value of  $t_0$  and a thalamic dendritic rate constant equal to  $\alpha$ , this yields the transfer functions  $\lceil 3, 5 \rceil$ 

$$
\frac{\phi_e(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\phi_N(\mathbf{k}, \omega)} = G_{es} L(\omega) F_{\theta V} \{ (D_e - F_{\theta \phi}) [1 - G_{ii} L(\omega)] F_{sV} - G_{ee} [1 + \Psi(\omega) \tau(\omega)] L(\omega) F_{s\phi} F_{\theta V} \}^{-1}
$$
(21)

$$
=\frac{G_{es}L(\omega)F_{\theta V}}{\left[1-G_{ii}L(\omega)\right]F_{sV}}\frac{1}{k^2r_e^2+q^2(\omega)r_e^2},\qquad(22)
$$

$$
D_e(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = k^2 r_e^2 + (1 - i \omega / \gamma_e)^2, \tag{23}
$$

$$
\Psi = \psi - i\omega t_0 \psi',\tag{24}
$$

$$
\tau(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega t_0}}{(1 - i\omega/\alpha)^2},\tag{25}
$$

$$
F_{s\phi} = 1 + \chi_{s\phi} \phi_e^{(0)} D_{s\phi}(\omega) / s_e^{(0)},
$$
 (26)

$$
F_{sV} = 1 - N_{ee} \chi_{sV} \phi_e^{(0)} D_{sV}(\omega) L(\omega), \qquad (27)
$$

$$
F_{\theta\phi} = -\rho_e \chi_{\theta\phi} D_{\theta\phi}(\omega),\tag{28}
$$

$$
F_{\theta V} = 1 - \chi_{\theta V} D_{\theta V}(\omega),\tag{29}
$$

$$
D_{xy}(\omega) = 1 - i\,\omega/\,\eta_{xy} \,. \tag{30}
$$

$$
q^{2}(\omega)r_{e}^{2} = (1 - i\omega/\gamma_{e})^{2} - F_{\theta\phi}
$$

$$
- \frac{G_{ee}[1 + \Psi(\omega)\tau(\omega)]L(\omega)F_{s\phi}F_{\theta V}}{[1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)]F_{sV}} \quad (31)
$$

in Fourier space for feedbacks of excitatory quantities on excitatory ones, with analogous equations for inhibitory ones. In (21), (22), and (31) the gains  $G_{ab} = \rho_a N_{ab} s_b$  express the response of neurons *a* to a unit signal from neurons *b*. The parameter  $\rho_a = dQ_a^{(0)}/dV_a$  is evaluated in the steady state where  $Q_a^{(0)} = 5 - 10 \text{ s}^{-1} \ll Q_a^{\text{max}}$  is the steady-state firing rate. One has

$$
\rho_a = \frac{\pi Q_a^{(0)}}{\sigma_a \sqrt{3}} \left( 1 - \frac{Q_a^{(0)}}{Q_a^{\max}} \right),\tag{32}
$$

$$
\rho_a = \frac{Q_a^{\max}}{2\sigma_a} \frac{(z^2 + 1)^{1/2} - 1}{z^2 (z^2 + 1)^{1/2}},
$$
\n(33)

for  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , respectively.

The dispersion relation of waves in our model system is given by setting the denominator of  $(22)$  to zero, giving

$$
k^2 + q^2(\omega) = 0.
$$
\n<sup>(34)</sup>

For the system to be stable,  $q^2$  must not cross the negative real axis [5]. We defined a stability parameter

$$
S = 1 - \frac{G_{ee}(1 + \psi)}{1 - G_{ii}},
$$
\n(35)

that must satisfy  $S \ge 0$  for the system to avoid instability at  $\omega$ =0 [5], although further conditions must be satisfied for stability at all frequencies. Comparison with data has showed that  $S \leq 1$  and that the approximation  $S=0$  can be made for most purposes  $[5]$ .

In general, the dispersion relation  $(34)$  must be solved numerically to obtain  $\omega$  in terms of *k*. The special case with  $\Psi$ =0,  $\alpha \gg \omega$ , and no intracortical feedbacks can be solved analytically (along with a few other special cases). In this case, one finds that the system supports waves that are purely damped and nonpropagating for  $kr_e < 1$ , but which approach damped plane waves at large *k*, with

$$
\omega = -i\gamma_e \pm \gamma_e (k^2 r_e^2 - 1)^{1/2},\tag{36}
$$

in general  $[1]$ .

For nonzero feedback  $\Psi$  we previously found that waves are least damped at even multiples of  $\pi/t_0$  if  $\psi > 0$  and  $\psi'/\psi$  > 0 or if both these inequalities are reversed, and at odd multiples if only one is reversed, as appears to be the case in sleep [5]. We will discuss the consequences for spectra and instabilities in the next sections.

### **D. Spectra**

In previous work we used the complexity of cortical inputs to approximate fluctuations in  $\phi_N$  relative to its mean as white noise in space and time. In calculating scalp EEG spectra we also included filtering via volume conduction in intervening tissues  $[5,7,8,10]$ , as fitted by the spatial filter function

$$
F(k) = e^{-k^2/k_0^2},
$$
\n(37)

where  $F(k)$  is the square of the ratio of scalp to cortical voltage and  $k_0 \approx 30 \text{ m}^{-1}$ . The resulting spectrum was

$$
P(\omega) = \int |\phi_e(\mathbf{k}, \omega)|^2 F(k) d^2 \mathbf{k}
$$
 (38)

$$
= P_N \left| \frac{L(\omega)}{[1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)]F_{sV}} \right|^2
$$
  
 
$$
\times \frac{\text{Im}[\exp(q^{*2}/k_0^2)E_1(q^{*2}/k_0^2)]}{|q^2r_e^2|\sin\theta}, \quad (39)
$$

$$
P_N = \pi \left| \phi_N G_{es} F_{\theta V} \right|^2 / r_e^2, \qquad (40)
$$

where  $\theta = \text{Arg}(q^2)$ ,  $|\phi_N^2|$  is the white-noise power level in Fourier space, and  $E_1$  is the exponential integral function [17]. This result generalizes an earlier one  $[5]$  to include  $F_{xy}$ .

The limit  $k_0 \rightarrow \infty$  corresponds to the absence of volume conduction in which case one has  $[5]$ 

$$
P(\omega) = P_N \left| \frac{L(\omega)}{[1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)]F_{sV}} \right|^2 \frac{\theta}{|q^2 r_e^2| \sin \theta}.
$$
 (41)

Realistic values of  $k_0$  yielded low-pass frequency filtering with a cutoff of around 30 Hz  $[5]$ . For simplicity, we ignore the factor  $F(k)$  from now on.

The shape of the spectrum depends strongly on the locus of  $q^2$  in the complex plane, with instability occurring if this locus intersects the negative real axis. We have shown that (39) can reproduce both the peaks and the underlying spectrum seen in EEGs for physiologically reasonable values of the input parameters  $[5]$ .

If  $S \approx 0$ ,  $q^2(0) \approx 0$ , the behavior of  $P(\omega)$  at small  $\omega$  depends on the leading terms in the expansion of  $q^2(\omega)$  in powers of  $\omega$ , and the effects of volume conduction can be ignored in this frequency range. This gives

$$
q^2(\omega)r_e^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(-i\omega)^j,
$$
 (42)

where the  $A_i$  are real  $\vert 5 \vert$ .

Momentarily ignoring the spectral peaks and examining the smooth, underlying spectrum for  $S=0$ , one finds a small- $\omega$  regime in which [5]

$$
P(\omega) \approx \frac{P_N}{G_0^2} \frac{\pi}{2\omega |A_1|},\tag{43}
$$

with  $G_0 = (1 - G_{ii})(1 - N_{ee} \chi_s \psi_e^{(0)})$ . If  $A_1$  is very small, this is modified to

$$
P(\omega) = \frac{P_N}{G_0^2} \frac{\pi}{|A_3| \omega^3},\tag{44}
$$

and  $A_1=0$  defines a stability boundary [5]. At large  $\omega$ 

$$
P(\omega) = \frac{P_N \pi \alpha^2 \beta^2 \gamma_e}{2 \omega^5}.
$$
 (45)

Assuming  $\psi'/\psi$  > 0, we found that the frequencies  $\omega_m$  of spectral peaks are given approximately by  $[5]$ 

$$
\omega_m t_0 \approx x_m + \sin^{-1}(\psi' x_m/|\Psi_m|) \text{sign}(\psi), \tag{46}
$$

$$
x_m = (m - 1/2)\pi,\tag{47}
$$

$$
|\Psi_m| = (\psi^2 + {\psi'}^2 x_m^2)^{1/2},\tag{48}
$$

with  $m=2,4, \ldots$  for  $\psi > 0$ ,  $m=1,3,5, \ldots$  for  $\psi < 0$ , and  $sign(u)=0$  for  $u=0$  here (the families of *m* values are reversed if  $\psi'/\psi$  is negative). The positive  $\psi$  peaks correspond to waking states, and negative  $\psi$  to sleep [5]. Alpha and beta rhythms correspond to  $m=2$  and  $m=4$ , respectively, while theta and sleep spindles have  $m=1$  and  $m=3$ .

### **E. Green functions and evoked potentials**

An evoked response potential (ERP; also termed an event related potential) is the transient response of the brain to an impulsive stimulus. We have argued that an ERP can be represented by the impulse response to a delta-function input—the Green function of the system  $[18,19]$ . Closely related to ERPs are steady state evoked potentials (SSEPs), which are responses to monochromatic sinusoidal inputs.

In Fourier space, the Green function  $G(\mathbf{k},\omega)$  is simply the ratio  $\phi_e / \phi_N$  given by (21) or (22), its poles define the linear dispersion relation, and its squared modulus yields the spectral power at  $\bf{k}$  and  $\omega$ . When analyzing SSEPs, one is interested in the response  $G(r,\omega)$  a distance *r* from an input point. This is given by

$$
G(r,\omega) = \frac{G_{es}L(\omega)F_{\theta V}}{[1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)]F_{sV}} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{k^2 r_e^2 + q^2(\omega) r_e^2},\tag{49}
$$

$$
= \frac{G_{es}L(\omega)F_{\theta V}}{\left[1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)\right]F_{sV}} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{kJ_0(kr)}{2\pi r_e^2(k^2 + q^2)},\qquad(50)
$$

$$
=\frac{G_{es}L(\omega)F_{\theta V}}{[1-G_{ii}L(\omega)]F_{sV}}\frac{K_0[q(\omega)r]}{2\pi r_e^2},\tag{51}
$$

where  $K_0$  is a Macdonald function (a modified Bessel function of the second kind) [17] and Re  $q>0$  for stable solutions. This result generalizes one obtained previously for purely cortical waves and  $\chi_{xy}$ =0 [18]. The dominant behavior of (51) at large *r* is  $exp[-Re(qr)]$ , implying that wave intensities fall off rapidly with distance unless Re *q* is small or, equivalently, unless  $q^2$  lies near the negative real axis.

The time dependence of ERPs is of great interest in applications, requiring the calculation of  $G(r,t)$ . The Fourier transform of  $(51)$  to the time domain cannot be evaluated in closed form in general, but is straightforward to calculate numerically and does not lead to modal aspects beyond those involved in  $G(r,\omega)$ ; hence, we do not consider it further here.

# **III. MODAL FORM OF CORTICOTHALAMIC EQUATIONS**

In this section we expand the dynamic equations from Sec. II in series of spatial eigenmodes with time varying coefficients. For definiteness, we consider only Fourier modes of a one-dimensional  $(1D)$  or 2D rectangular cortex here, since they incorporate the main physical feature of discreteness due to the imposition of boundary conditions. A spherical cortex can be treated using spherical-harmonic eigenmodes with modest additional effort, while spheroidal eigenmodes are considerably more complex  $[8]$ , and the actual convoluted geometry of the cortex is amenable only to numerical treatment. Before any attempt to proceed to the full cortical geometry, our aim here is to determine the qualitative effects produced by discrete modal structure and to find the conditions under which they become important. Use of a rectangular system in 2D enables this to be done, while removing some of the degeneracy implicit in a square one, while 1D systems are used in some illustrations in later sections.

If we consider moderate (but not necessarily linear) perturbations relative to a steady state with  $V_a = V_a^{(0)}$ , the Fourier transform of  $(1)$  can be expanded in either of two equivalent series:

$$
Q_a(\mathbf{k},t) = \Sigma^{(0)} \delta(\mathbf{k}) + \Sigma^{(1)} [V_a(\mathbf{k},t) - V_a \delta(\mathbf{k})]
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{\Sigma^{(2)}}{2} [V_a^2(\mathbf{k},t) - 2V_a^{(0)} V_a(\mathbf{k},t) + V_a^{(0)2} \delta(\mathbf{k})]
$$
  
+..., (52)

$$
= \lambda_a^{(0)} \delta(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_a^{(n)} V_a^n(\mathbf{k}, t), \qquad (53)
$$

where  $\sum^{(n)}$  is the *n*th derivative of  $\Sigma$  evaluated at  $V_a^{(0)}$  and the  $\lambda^{(n)}$ , which are *not* derivatives, are easily obtained by comparing (55) and (56). In Fourier space the quantity  $V_a^2$  is expressible as the convolution

$$
V_a^2(\mathbf{k},t) = \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^D} V_a(\mathbf{p},t) V_a(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},t),
$$
 (54)

in a *D*-dimensional system, with similar expressions for higher-order terms. Equation  $(54)$  embodies three-wave interactions in which waves of wave vector  $\bf{p}$  and  $\bf{k}$  –  $\bf{p}$  interact to produce a response at **k**. Higher order terms in the series  $(52)$  and  $(53)$  represent four-wave and more complex interactions.

The dynamical equations  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  are unchanged in Fourier space, except that the arguments of  $V_a$  and  $P_a$  are **k** and  $\omega$ . Likewise, Eqs. (13), (16), and (17) are only altered by the use of Fourier arguments, provided  $\chi_{xy}$  is constant, while  $(14)$  becomes

$$
D_a = \frac{1}{\gamma_a^2} \left[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + 2\gamma_a \frac{d}{dt} + \gamma_a^2 + k^2 v^2 \right].
$$
 (55)

If feedbacks on the  $s<sub>b</sub>$  are incorporated, the Fourier form of  $(12)$  is the nonlinear equation

$$
P_a(\mathbf{k},t) = \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^D} [N_{ae} s_e(\mathbf{p},t) \phi_e(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},t)
$$

$$
+ N_{ai} s_i(\mathbf{p},t) \phi_i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},t) + N_{as} s_s(\mathbf{p},t)
$$

$$
\times \{\phi_N(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},t) + \phi_T(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},t)\}], \qquad (56)
$$

where  $\phi_s = \phi_N + \phi_T$ .

Finally, turning to the thalamic feedback equation  $(15)$ , we note that virtually all neurons entering the cortex are excitatory, implying  $G_{ee}/G_{es} \approx N_{ee}/N_{es}$ . If we further assume a single value for  $t_0$ , as in previous work, and omit this argument from  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$ , Eq. (15) becomes

$$
D_{\alpha}\phi_T(\mathbf{k},t) = \frac{N_{ee}}{N_{es}} \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^D} \Psi(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) \phi_e(-\mathbf{p},t-t_0),
$$
\n(57)

$$
\Psi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = \psi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) + \psi'(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) t_0 \frac{d}{dt},
$$
\n(58)

in Fourier space, where  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  have each been expanded in double Fourier series in  $\bf{r}$  and  $\bf{r}$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> to obtain (56), with

$$
\Psi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = \int d^D \mathbf{r} \, d^D \mathbf{r}' e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}'} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'). \tag{59}
$$

In the special case in which  $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  depends only on **r**  $-\mathbf{r}'$ , Eq. (15) is a convolution and one finds

$$
D_{\alpha}\phi_T(\mathbf{k},t) = \frac{N_{ee}}{N_{es}}\Psi(\mathbf{k})\phi_e(\mathbf{k},t-t_0),
$$
 (60)

$$
\Psi(\mathbf{k}) = \psi(\mathbf{k}) + \psi'(\mathbf{k})t_0 \frac{d}{dt}.
$$
\n(61)

When boundary conditions are imposed, the values of **k** and  $\bf{p}$  are restricted in our modal equations  $(9)$ ,  $(10)$ ,  $(13)$ ,  $(14)$ ,  $(16)$ ,  $(17)$ , and  $(52)$ – $(61)$ , with

$$
\mathbf{p} = \left(\frac{2\,\pi m}{L_x}, \frac{2\,\pi n}{L_y}\right),\tag{62}
$$

for a 2D rectangular cortex of size  $L_r \times L_v$ , with an analogous equation for **k** and an obvious simplification for a 1D system. In consequence, the integrals over **p** are replaced by sums over the allowed values, with

$$
\int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \to \frac{1}{L_x L_y} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \qquad (63)
$$

in 2D. The correspondence  $(63)$  yields the power spectrum per unit area in the 2D discrete case, which corresponds directly to what is calculated for continuous **k**.

#### **IV. MODAL EFFECTS ON SPECTRA**

There has been significant recent progress in calculating EEG spectra from the underlying physiology. One issue that remains contentious is whether the discrete spectral peaks are due in part or whole to discrete cortical resonances whose frequencies are set by spatial boundary conditions. Our work has stressed the contrasting role of corticothalamic resonances in producing discrete peaks, with resonances induced via time delays, not spatial boundary conditions. However, at frequencies where damping is small, it is possible that nondegenerate spatial eigenmodes might give rise to peak splitting, possibly including the production of split-band alpha rhythms seen in a significant percentage of subjects.

In this section we explore the effects of discrete eigenmode structure on spectra, retaining corticothalamic feedback  $\Psi$ , but setting the intracortical feedback susceptibilities  $\chi_{xy}$ =0. Moreover, we neglect filtering by the skull. We determine the number of modes that must be retained in a modal analysis to reproduce various features of the spectra, the circumstances under which a continuum approximation may be made, and the role of the cortical size in determining the spectrum. In Sec. IV A we illustrate many of the essential points using a 1D cortex, whose discrete spectrum can be evaluated in closed form, before analyzing the 2D case in Sec. IV B.

#### **A. 1D cortex**

In a 1D cortex of linear size  $L<sub>x</sub>$ , with periodic boundary conditions, the power spectrum is given by

$$
P(\omega) = A(\omega) \frac{1}{L_x} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left| \left( \frac{2\pi m}{L_x} \right)^2 + q^2 \right|^2},
$$
 (64)

$$
=A(\omega)\frac{\operatorname{Im}[q\coth(q^*L_x/2)]}{2|q^2|\operatorname{Im}(q^2)},\tag{65}
$$

$$
A(\omega) = \frac{|\phi_N|^2 G_{es}^2}{r_e^4} \left| \frac{L(\omega)}{1 - G_{ii} L(\omega)} \right|^2 \tag{66}
$$

 $[20]$ . The corresponding result in the continuum case is

$$
P(\omega) = A(\omega) \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 + q^2|^2}
$$
 (67)

$$
=\frac{A(\omega)}{4|q^2|\text{Re }q}.
$$
 (68)

We require Re  $q>0$  for stability. If Re( $qL<sub>x</sub>/2$ ) $\geq 1$ , one finds  $\coth(qL_x/2) \approx \coth(q^*L_x/2) \approx 1$  and the result (65) approaches  $(68)$ , implying that the system can be treated as a continuum. This corresponds to waves with Im *k*, which measures the linewidth of the mode in *k*, exceeding the separation  $2\pi/L_x$  between modes. At sufficiently high frequencies, the condition for the continuum limit is fulfilled if *L*  $\approx$  2 $\pi r_e$ , which is marginally satisfied in the human cortex, according to the parameters in Table I. (Incidentally, to avoid the physiologically wasteful phenomenon of corticocortical fibers that wrap more than half way around the cortex, rather than taking a shorter route,  $L \gg r_e$  must be satisfied, which implies that the continuum limit will also be at least marginally valid in other species.) If  $\text{Re}(qL_x/2) \ll 1$ , the waves are weakly damped and one finds that the spectrum  $(68)$  is dominated by a series of resonances where  $\text{Im}(qL_x/2) = m$ . In this case, one can approximate  $(68)$  by

$$
P(\omega) \approx \frac{A(\omega)L_x^4}{(4\pi m)^2|qL_x - 2m\pi i|^2},\tag{69}
$$

for Im *q* close to  $m\pi/L_x$ . The dispersion relation (34) then implies that such waves satisfy  $k \approx \pm 2\pi m/L_x$ ; i.e., they are weakly damped standing waves of the system. Such a resonance mechanism has been discussed extensively by Nunez

TABLE I. Physiologically realistic values of some corticothalamic quantities, in the ranges used in Ref.  $[5]$ . Also included for illustrative purposes are numerically determined values of  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$ appropriate to a marginally stable waking state with a strong alpha peak; these have not been estimated physiologically.

Quantity	Value	Unit
$Q_{e,i}^{\max}$	200	$s^{-1}$
$\theta_{e,i}$	15	mV
$\sigma_{e,i}$	5	mV
$\alpha$	100	$s^{-1}$
$N_{ae}$	4000	
$N_{ai}$	600	
$N_{as}$	60	
$S_{e,s}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mu$ V s
$-s_i$	5	$\mu$ V s
$\upsilon$	10	$m s^{-1}$
$r_e$	0.1	m
$r_i$	0.1	mm
$\gamma_e$	100	$s^{-1}$
$\gamma_i$	$10^5$	$s^{-1}$
$G_{ee}$	$\mathbf{1}$	
$G_{ii}$	$-1$	
$G_{es}$	0.5	
$t_{0}$	70	ms
$\psi$	1.0	
$\psi'$	0.8	
$L_x, L_y$	0.5	m

[7,8]; the difference here is that cortical modal resonances can only become apparent at frequencies where the corticothalamic loop already has a resonance that leads to weak wave damping. This means that modal resonances may lead to substructure in the CT resonances  $(e.g., the split-band al$ pha structure discussed in Sec. VI), but not to the major resonances at the alpha, beta, and other rhythms.

Figure  $2(a)$  shows a series of spectra calculated for the parameters in Table I, except that  $L<sub>x</sub>$  is varied. Rapid convergence to the continuum limit is seen for  $L_x \ge 2r_e = 0.2$  m, in accord with the above discussion. The only significant difference is that at small  $L<sub>x</sub>$ , there is a large enhancement in the low-frequency part of the spectrum, reflecting the strong role of the uniform  $(k=0)$  mode in this case because other modal resonances occur at large negative  $q^2$  for small  $L<sub>x</sub>$ , with exactly resonant values satisfying

$$
q^2r_e^2 = -k_m^2r_e^2 = -(2\pi r_e/L_x)^2m^2,
$$
 (70)

for the *m*th resonance. The low frequency enhancement has  $P(f) \sim f^{-2}$ , as opposed to  $f^{-1}$  for the continuum limit in this marginally stable case [see  $(43)$ ]. For stable systems, both spectra level off as  $f \rightarrow 0$ , but the modal one remains enhanced.

For modal resonance to produce discrete peaks in a 1D cortex, the locus of  $q^2$  must pass near more than one of the poles given by (70). If many poles are comparably close to the  $q<sup>2</sup>$  locus at a particular frequency, as is the case at large



 $\omega$ , for example, the continuum limit will provide a good approximation and discrete modal structure will not be seen. Hence, visible modal structure corresponds to cases where  $\approx$  2 poles are involved. The most prominent case is predicted to correspond to the  $m=0$  and  $m=\pm 1$  poles, since these lie relatively close together and to the low frequency part of the  $q<sup>2</sup>$  locus. Higher poles are less relevant to discrete modal effects since they also lie on the negative real  $q^2$  axis and the overall trend is for the imaginary part of  $q^2$  to increase in magnitude with frequency, as illustrated in Fig.  $2(b)$ .

The number of modes contributing significantly to the spectrum at a given frequency can be estimated from  $(67)$ , with the fractional contribution from wave numbers above *k* decreasing as  $k^{-3}$  for  $k \ge |q|$ . The number of strongly active modes is thus at most a few times  $|q|L_x/\pi$  if Re  $q^2>0$ . If Re  $q^2$  < 0, we write (67) as

$$
P(\omega) = A(\omega) \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \text{Re }q^2)^2 + (\text{Im }q^2)^2},
$$
 (71)

which implies that the number of active modes is of order  $2L_x$  Re q Im  $q/\pi |q| \leq |q|L_x/\pi$ , which yields the same estimate as for positive Re  $q^2$ . At high frequencies,  $|q| \approx \omega/v$ , implying of order  $2fL<sub>x</sub>/v$  major modes, or  $\sim 0.1f$  modes for the human parameters in Table I. Hence, only a modest number of modes contribute strongly to observed spectra for typical EEG frequencies of  $\leq 50$  Hz. In the frequency range of interest, Fig.  $2(b)$  implies that the modes of relevance extend from  $m=0$  to a maximal value  $\pm m_{\text{max}}$ . Figure 2(c) shows spectra calculated using various  $m_{\text{max}}$ . Rapid convergence is seen, with just three modes  $(m_{\text{max}}=1)$  giving a good approximation up to 30 Hz, in accord with the above estimate. The single global mode gives a reasonable representation of the spectrum up to the vicinity of the alpha frequency, although the alpha resonance is sharper and stronger in this approximation than in the full calculation.

FIG. 2. Spectra for the parameters in Table I, but various values of  $L_x$  and  $m_{\text{max}}$  in a 1D cortex. In each case the solid line shows the continuum limit, while the dotted, dashed, dotted-dashed, and triple-dotted–dashed lines correspond to increasing values of the parameter being varied. (a)  $L_x = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$  m. (b) Locus of  $q^2(\omega)r_e^2$ (same for all parameter sets in other frames and figures), with  $q^2(0)=0$ . (c)  $m_{\text{max}}=0, 1, 2, 3$ . (d) Expanded view of the alpha peak in  $(c)$ .

Figure  $2(d)$  shows an expanded view of the vicinity of the alpha peak in Fig.  $2(c)$ , revealing a shoulder at around 11 Hz, which appears at  $m_{\text{max}}=1$  and hardly changes for larger *m*. This is due to the contribution from the  $m=0$  and  $m=\pm1$ resonances, whose poles lie at  $q^2 r_e^2 = (0,0)$  and  $(-1.6,0)$ , respectively, in Fig.  $2(b)$  and are successively approached by the  $q^2$  locus as *f* increases past the nominal alpha frequency. Our earlier work on variations in the form of the  $q^2$  locus with physiological changes  $[5]$  implies that it is very difficult, if not impossible, to obtain a locus that passes close enough to the  $m=0$  and  $m=\pm 1$  poles to produce strong peak structure in 1D without encountering an instability. In any event, if such a situation were attainable, it would be realized only in a very narrow parameter range, whereas split alpha peaks are seen in several percent of subjects.

# **B. 2D cortex**

It is possible to reduce the 2D discrete summation corresponding to  $(38)$  to a single sum, giving

$$
P(\omega) = \frac{A(\omega)}{L_y} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im}[q_n \coth(q_n^* L_x/2)]}{2|q_n^2|\text{Im}(q_n^2)},\qquad(72)
$$

$$
q_n^2 = q^2 + (2\pi n/L_y)^2,\tag{73}
$$

but it does not appear to be possible to evaluate  $(72)$  in closed form. Even so, the insights obtained in the 1D case above remain valid. In particular, the continuum limit is valid for Im  $k \ge 2\pi \max\{L_x^{-1}, L_y^{-1}\}$  or, equivalently at high *f*,  $\min\{L_x, L_y\} \gtrsim 2\pi r_e$ . Thus, the smallest overall dimension of the cortex governs the applicability of the 2D continuum limit, with the 1D continuum limit being approached as this dimension shrinks to zero, with approximately  $2n_{\text{max}}$  $=2m_{\text{max}}L_v/L_x$  terms needing to be retained if  $2m_{\text{max}}$  terms contribute significantly to the sum over  $m$  (without loss of generality, we may assume  $L_y \le L_x$ ). This is borne out by the



FIG. 3. Spectra for the parameters in Table I, but various values of  $L_x$ ,  $L_y$ , and  $m_{\text{max}}$  in a 2D cortex. In each case the solid line shows the continuum limit, while the dotted, dashed, dotteddashed, and triple-dotted–dashed lines correspond to increasing values of the parameter being varied. (a)  $L_x=0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$  m. (b)  $m_{\text{max}}$  $=0, 1, 2, 3.$  (c)  $L_y=0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$  m with  $L_xL_y=0.25$  m<sup>2</sup> fixed. (d) Expanded view of the alpha peak in (b).

results in Fig.  $3(a)$  where rapid convergence is seen to the continuum limit for frequencies above about 1 Hz in the case where  $L_x = L_y \ge 0.2$  m. Likewise, Fig. 3(c) shows that if the cortical area is held constant, cases with  $L_x \approx L_y$  have spectra closer to the 2D continuum limit than those with very small  $L<sub>v</sub>$ , which approach the 1D version for  $L<sub>v</sub> \le 0.2$  m. The 1D spectrum never differs from the 2D one by more than a factor of 2 in this example.

The number of strongly active modes remains restricted in 2D, with the analog of  $(71)$  implying that at most a few times  $|q^2|L_xL_y/2\pi$  modes contribute strongly. This is confirmed by the results in Fig.  $3(b)$ , which show rapid convergence as  $m_{\text{max}}$  increases beyond about 2, where modes in a circular region of radius  $m_{\text{max}}$  are included, with

$$
(m^2 + n^2)^{1/2} \le m_{\text{max}}.\tag{74}
$$

The number of modes required is greater than in 1D because of the larger high-*k* weighting in 2D Fourier space.

If they are weakly damped, resonant modes have

$$
q^2r_e^2 = -k_{mn}^2r_e^2 = -(2\pi r_e)^2 \left(\frac{m^2}{L_x^2} + \frac{n^2}{L_y^2}\right),\tag{75}
$$

for integers *m* and *n*. This form allows multiple modes with similar  $k_{mn}$ , leading to the possibility of multiple resonances at nearby frequencies. However, for these resonances to give rise to distinct peaks in the spectrum, they must not be too close together, implying that *m* and *n* must be of order unity. Evidence of such modal structure is seen in the alpha peak in Fig. 3, where a secondary peak lies at about 11 Hz, on the flank of the main peak at 10 Hz. The expanded view in Fig.  $3(d)$  shows this most clearly, demonstrating that this is due to the  $(m,n) = (0,0),(0,\pm 1),(\pm 1,0)$  modes, with little change as  $m_{\text{max}}$  increases beyond 1. The reason that the peak is stronger in this case is simply the larger number of modes with the same  $|\mathbf{k}|$  but, as in 1D, a discrete peak is difficult or impossible to obtain, except perhaps in a very restricted parameter regime. It is even more difficult to produce subpeaks within the beta CT resonance because of the tendency of the  $q<sup>2</sup>$  locus to move away from the negative real axis (where the resonances lie) at higher frequencies. The separation between the alpha subpeaks is also restricted to 1–2 Hz at most, since they must correspond to a relatively small arc of one of the loops in Fig.  $2(b)$ , while a circuit of such a loop occurs in only about 10 Hz (the alpha frequency). We return to these issues in Sec. VI.

# **V. MODAL EFFECTS ON GREEN FUNCTIONS AND EVOKED POTENTIALS**

Impulse responses of the brain, in the form of evoked response potentials, are commonly used to probe cognitive processes. Connections between prestimulus EEGs and subsequent ERPs are also widely explored, and will be treated in detail by us elsewhere. In this section, we concentrate on modal effects on the Green function  $G(r,\omega)$  of the corticothalamic system, which is closely related to the steady state evoked potential (SSEP) produced by a sinusoidally varying input at a frequency  $\omega$  and distance  $r$  from the detecting electrode. As in Sec. IV we begin with a 1D cortex, for which many of the modal expressions can be evaluated in closed form, then turn to the 2D case.

# **A. 1D cortex**

If one wishes to evaluate the steady state evoked potential a distance *x* from a sinusoidally modulated point stimulus, one must evaluate  $G(x, \omega)$ . For a real sinusoidal perturbation, a linear combination of the real quantities  $[G(x,\omega)]$  $+G(x,-\omega)/2$  and  $[G(x,\omega)-G(x,-\omega)]/2i$  is actually what is relevant.

The discrete 1D analog of (49) for  $\chi_{xy} = 0$  is [20]

$$
G(x,\omega) = \frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)} \frac{1}{L_x} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i2\pi m x/L_x}}{\left(\frac{2\pi m r_e}{L_x}\right)^2 + q^2 r_e^2}
$$
(76)



$$
=\frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1-G_{ii}L(\omega)}\frac{\cosh[q(L_x-2|x|)/2]}{2qr_e^2\sinh(qL_x/2)},\qquad(77)
$$

which holds for  $|x| \le L_x$  and can also be written

$$
G(x,\omega) = \frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)} \frac{1}{2qr_e^2}
$$
  
×{tanh(qL<sub>x</sub>/2)cosh(qx) - sinh(q|x|)} (78)

$$
=\frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1-G_{ii}L(\omega)}\frac{1}{2qr_e^2}\lbrace e^{-q|x|}+\cosh(qx)
$$
  
×[ $\tanh(qL_x/2)-1$ ]. (79)

The 1D continuum result is

$$
G(x,\omega) = \frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi r_e} \frac{e^{ikx}}{k^2 r_e^2 + q^2(\omega)r_e^2}
$$
 (80)

$$
=\frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1-G_{ii}L(\omega)}\frac{e^{-q|x|}}{2qr_e^2}.\tag{81}
$$

The discrete expression  $(79)$  rapidly approaches the continuum limit  $(81)$  as  $\text{Re}(qL_x)$  increases beyond about 2. For smaller values of Re q there are resonances at the same locations in the  $q^2$  plane as for the spectra discussed in Sec. IV.

Figure 4 shows variations in  $|G(x, f)|$  as a function of frequency *f* as  $L_x$ ,  $m_{\text{max}}$ , and *x* are varied in a 1D cortex whose parameters are otherwise those of Table I. In Fig.  $4(a)$ it is seen that the continuum limit is rapidly approached as  $L<sub>r</sub>$ increases, with significant differences only below 1 Hz for  $L_{x} \ge 0.5$  m where the discrete and continuous versions scale as  $f^{-1}$  and  $f^{-1/2}$ , respectively. Rapid, but nonmonotonic, convergence to the  $m_{\text{max}} = \infty$  limit is seen in Fig. 4(b), with  $m_{\text{max}}$ =1 sufficing to obtain excellent agreement up to circa 30 Hz. In Fig.  $4(c)$  we see that the high-frequency compo-

FIG. 4. Green functions  $|G(x,f)|$  vs *f* for *x*  $=0.08$  m and the parameters in Table I, but various values of  $L_x$ ,  $m_{\text{max}}$ , and  $x$  in a 1D cortex. In frames  $(a)$  and  $(b)$  the solid line shows the continuum limit, while the dotted, dashed, dotted– dashed, and triple-dotted–dashed lines correspond to increasing values of the parameter being varied. (a)  $L_x=0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$  m, (b)  $m_{\text{max}}$  $=0, 1, 2, 3, (c)$   $x=0, 0.08, 0.16, 0.24$  m. (d) Expanded view of the alpha peak in  $(c)$  for  $x$  $= 0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.06$  m.

nents of  $G(x, f)$  weaken and the  $m_{\text{max}}=0$  form from Fig. 4(b) is approached as *r* increases, reflecting the rapid damping of these waves. In contrast, the low-*f* part is almost independent of *r*, reflecting the dominance of the  $m=0$  global mode in this case. Apart from the substructure near the alpha resonance, the continuum limit is found to be a much better approximation at small *r*, which reflects the requisite inclusion of a large number of modes up to at least  $k \sim 1/r$  in order to resolve the spatial scales involved. Figure  $4(d)$  shows that the modal Green function also exhibits two subpeaks in the alpha rhythm. These correspond to the  $m=0$  ( $\approx$  10 Hz) and  $m=\pm 1$  ( $\approx$ 11 Hz) resonances and appear stronger than in the spectra, because only a single *r* value is involved: when sources at various *r* contribute to a spectrum, the large-*r* contributions, which involve primarily the  $m=0$  mode, dominate the more local ones, which contribute to the secondary peak.

### **B. 2D cortex**

The continuum limit yields the explicit  $2D$  result  $(51)$ , and the double sum involved in the  $2D$  analog of  $(76)$  can be reduced to the 1D sum

$$
G(x,\omega) = \frac{G_{es}L(\omega)}{1 - G_{ii}L(\omega)} \frac{1}{2r_e^2 L_y} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_n} \exp(i2\pi ny/L_y)
$$

$$
\times \{\tanh(q_n L_x/2) \cosh(q_n x) - \sinh(q_n |x|)\}, \quad (82)
$$

but  $(82)$  does not seem to be able to be evaluated in closed form. We thus proceed numerically in this section, apart from noting that  $2n_{\text{max}} \approx 2m_{\text{max}}L_v/L_x$  terms are required in  $(82)$  if  $2m_{\text{max}}$  terms are significant in the sum over *m*.

Figure 5 shows variations in  $|G(x,f)|$  vs *f* as  $L_x = L_y$ ,  $m_{\text{max}}$ , and  $\mathbf{r}=(x,0)$  are varied in a 2D cortex whose parameters are otherwise those of Table I. The conclusions are very similar to those for the 1D case seen in Fig. 4. One difference is that the second alpha subpeak is slightly stronger in 2D, as seen in Fig.  $5(d)$ , because of the larger number of modes contributing to it.



**VI. RESONANCE MECHANISMS**

A key aim of EEG theories has been to explain the occurrence of the major rhythms seen in waking and sleeping states, particularly the alpha ( $\approx$  10 Hz) and beta ( $\approx$  20 Hz) waking rhythms, and the theta ( $\approx$  5 Hz), spindle ( $\approx$  14 Hz), and delta  $(< 3.5$  Hz) rhythms most prominent in sleep. The recent prediction and tentative detection of additional rhythms at nominal frequencies of around 25 Hz in sleep and 30 Hz waking further extends this task  $[5]$ . A comprehensive theory should also account for the splitting of the alpha rhythm into two subpeaks, as seen in a few percent of normal individuals, and the occurence of Rolandic mu rhythm, an alphalike rhythm most prominent centrally on the head and which also possesses a betalike component  $[9]$ . Several mechanisms have been proposed, including ones based on modal resonances. We briefly recapitulate these here, including new ones based on the present work, and outline some experimental tests that can be used to distinguish between them.

One mechanism that could account for any number of peaks is that of pacemakers located in the thalamus or elsewhere in the brain, each of which comprises neurons with a characteristic frequency. It is argued that these neurons mutually entrain one another via nonlinear couplings, leading to a linewidth less than that of the frequency response curve of any single neuron  $[9]$ . Although neurons with resonant frequencies certainly exist, the pacemaker theory has a number of problems. First, it does not explain why the resonant frequencies are approximately in a harmonic relationship in the waking state, nor why sleep resonances occur almost exactly midway between the waking ones. The transition from waking to sleep would involve deactivation of the pacemakers for resonances at 2, 4, and 6 times a base frequency of around 5 Hz, and activation of those at 1, 3, and 5 times, and this pattern is not explained by the theory. Nor does pacemaker theory account for the strong enhancement of delta waves in sleep or the splitting of the alpha peak seen in some subjects (except perhaps via the ad hoc addition of another pacemaker at the requisite frequency). Moreover, from the

FIG. 5. Green functions  $|G(\mathbf{r}, f)|$  vs *f* for **r**  $=$  (0.08,0) m and the parameters in Table I, but various values of  $L_x$ ,  $m_{\text{max}}$ , and  $r$  in a 2D cortex. In frames  $(a)$  and  $(b)$  the solid line shows the continuum limit, while the dotted, dashed, dotteddashed, and triple-dotted–dashed lines correspond to increasing values of the parameter being varied. (a)  $L_x = L_y = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$  m, (b)  $m_{\text{max}}=0, 1, 2, 3, (c)$   $r=0, 0.08, 0.16, 0.24$  m. (d) Expanded view of the alpha peak in  $(c)$  for  $r$  $= 0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.06$  m.

point of view of parsimony, postulation of a different pacemaker for each peak is unconvincing.

A second widely discussed mechanism is that resonances may be the result of spatial cortical eigenmodes, with substructure caused by the breaking of modal degeneracy by the complicated cortical geometry  $[7,8]$ . In a series of papers, we have shown that purely cortical waves appear to be too heavily damped to have sharp resonances and that such resonances are certainly not possible for the cortical part of the model discussed here for physiologically realistic parameters [1,5]. Nunez has developed a different model in which there are additional degrees of freedom in choosing the relative coefficients in a wave equation analogous to  $(14)$  [7,8]. In his model, it *is* possible in principle to obtain weakly damped standing waves at any frequency, with the actual resonant frequencies selected by the cortical geometry. One prediction of this model is that the frequencies of the global resonances should not depend on location in the cortex, although their amplitudes may vary due to differences in overlying tissues, etc. Under this mechanism, splitting in peaks is predicted to be due to breaking of initial degeneracy as a result of geometric asymmetries in the real cortex; the globally uniform mode is nondegenerate and cannot be split by this mechanism. Relative amplitudes of split-band peaks should be similar at all locations as long as the splitting is not so large that the two peaks undergo significantly different spatial filtering, and provided that the eigenmodes have similar spatial structure. If the latter proviso is not fulfilled, the higher frequency mode of an initially degenerate pair will tend to have higher amplitudes in frontal regions because the posterior lobes of the brain are larger, implying smaller  $k$  (and hence  $\omega$ ) is favored in this region. This argument is exactly analogous to standard textbook ones used to infer the qualitative spatial structure and frequencies of pairs of initially degenerate quantum mechanical eigenmodes in the presence of a perturbing potential that breaks that degeneracy.

We have previously argued that the major EEG peaks are due to resonances in a corticothalamic feedback loop, demonstrating that this mechanism can account for the entire series of resonances previously seen, their inversion in sleep along with simultaneous enhancement of delta, and the occurrence of additional resonances near 25 Hz in sleep and 30 Hz waking  $[5]$ . In the present paper, we have shown that this mechanism can also account for weak substructure in the alpha peak arising from the effect of purely cortical eigenmodes in frequency ranges where damping has been reduced by the CT resonance, particularly if the measuring electrode is close to a localized source at the frequency involved. This mechanism predicts that there should be little or no structure in the beta resonance, because its part of the  $q^2$  locus is relatively remote from the resonance poles. It also implies that there should be an  $f^{-2}$  enhancement in the delta range if the cortex is very near marginal stability. As with the previous mechanism, this one predicts that neighboring peaks should exhibit no spatial variation of resonance frequencies and little variation in relative amplitudes, although absolute amplitudes may change. Again, one would expect the higher frequency mode of a split pair to be predominantly frontal (this does not apply to resonances due to different modes such as the  $m=0$  and  $m=1$  resonances, since these are nondegenerate to begin with).

A second alternative involving CT loops is that cortical eigenmodes may be largely irrelevant to submode structure, but that there may be two different values of  $t_0$  in split-alpha subjects. In this crude form, this suggestion suffers from similar objections to the pacemaker idea (on the grounds of being ad hoc), although each  $t_0$  value gives rise to an entire family of peaks, not just one. However, a bimodal distribution of  $t_0$  values can arise very naturally and robustly, since loops from the thalamus to various parts of the cortex and back are not all of the same length, and there will thus be a spread in the value of  $t_0 = t_0(\mathbf{r})$ . In particular, there must be at least one maximum and one minimum of  $t_0$  corresponding to the longest and shortest CT loops, most likely at the front and back of the head or vice versa. If the spatial second derivatives of  $t_0$  are increasing in magnitude at these extremums, it is straightforward to show that there will be an enhanced probability of observing these values of  $t_0$ , relative to nearby values, with a reduced probability in the opposite case. In the first case, if the maximum and minimum  $t_0$  values differ sufficiently they may give rise to distinguishable peaks in the spectrum. A key observable consequence of this mechanism would be that all CT resonances would be expected to be affected in the same way, including the beta rhythm in particular. This contrasts with the cortical submode mechanism which would affect the alpha peak preferentially and would lead to different (and probably unobservably weak) submode structure within other CT resonances. One would also expect systematic shifts in the resonant frequencies and relative amplitudes of alpha subpeaks across the scalp, although each value of  $t_0$  would be observable at an attenuated level over much of the scalp because of the spread of corticocortical fibers arising from each point. Under this mechanism the power spectrum is due to a superposition of the effects of various values of  $t_0$ , and at low to moderate frequencies all peaks are dominated by the response of the global mode in the presence of the overall feedback via the thalamus—peaks do not generally correspond to different spatial eigenmodes. Rolandic mu rhythm fits naturally into this picture as the alpha rhythm corresponding to corticothalamic loops in the central part of the cortex, particularly involving the sensorimotor cortex which is known to be involved in mu  $[9]$ . This mechanism predicts that the spatial attenuation of a given spectral peak will result largely from its intracortical damping and, hence, that sharper peaks (which are necessarily weakly damped) should have more uniform spatial distribution than broader ones.

Another possibility is that pacemaker cells may operate in conjunction with cortical or CT resonances, effectively sharpening the resonance beyond what could be achieved by either mechanism alone. For example, in the CT context, the  $q<sup>2</sup>$  curve could be distorted toward a nearby pole even by weakly resonant pacemaker effects, producing a significant enhancement in the spectrum without a large absolute change in  $q^2$ . Such compound mechanisms are quite possible, particularly given that weakly resonant neurons have existed in the thalamus, for example, for millions of years. If any evolutionary advantage accrues to sharp resonances, adaptation of these cells to enhance them is likely to have occured, but investigation of such possibilities is beyond the scope of the present work.

### **VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION**

We have examined the effect of boundary conditions and resulting discrete spatial eigenmodes on the predictions of our corticothalamic model of EEG generation, generalizing it in the process. This work yields equations for modal dynamics, spectra, and the response functions corresponding to steady state evoked potentials and evoked response potentials. These equations incorporate both modal and corticothalamic resonances, enabling a unified treatment of these potential resonance mechanisms, contributing to determining their relative effects on observations, and laying the groundwork for future nonlinear EEG studies.

Our results for modal effects on white noise-driven spectra showed that, for human parameters, the continuum limit (no modal effects) is an excellent approximation at most frequencies for systems of linear cortical sizes exceeding roughly 0.2 m, which is well fulfilled for humans ( $L<sub>x</sub> \approx 0.5$ ) m). The exceptions are at very low frequencies  $(f \le 1$  Hz) and near the alpha resonance. At low *f* in a marginally stable system, the uniform mode produces a spectral enhancement, with  $P(f) \sim f^{-2}$ , rather than the continuum behavior  $f^{-1}$ . Near the alpha frequency, some substructure may be seen if the resonance is strong, but distinct subpeaks were not found for parameters near the physiologically realistic ones. The substructure seen is due almost entirely to the effects of the global mode and the modes nearest to it in Fourier space.

It was further found that the modal spectrum was reproduced semiquantitatively up to the vicinity of the alpha frequency by the contribution from the global mode alone, although the alpha peak was somewhat narrower and more pronounced in this approximation. Inclusion of further modes led to rapid convergence toward the limiting result.

Green functions  $G(r, \omega)$  were calculated in Sec. V, including modal and corticothalamic effects. There it was argued that these functions represent steady state potentials evoked by sinusoidal stimuli (SSEPs), while their Fourier transforms  $G(r,t)$  represent responses evoked by impulsive stimuli (ERPs). Our results again showed that modal effects were most prominent at very low frequencies and near the alpha peak, especially close to a localized source. In other respects, the continuum approximation is best at short ranges, where many modes must be included to resolve the spatial scales involved, while the global-mode approximation is best at large scales.

Several possible mechanisms for the production of major EEG resonances, substructure within them, and related rhythms such as Rolandic mu, were critically discussed in Sec. VI, and experimentally testable predictions were listed for each. It was argued that pacemaker mechanisms have trouble in accounting convincingly for the relative frequencies of major rhythms, and sleep–wake variations. Purely cortical resonances have difficulty avoiding strong damping for physiologically realistic parameters, and we argue that they predict higher frequencies for frontally concentrated members of modal pairs whose degeneracy has been broken by geometric irregularities. Corticothalamic resonances can weaken damping sufficiently for cortical eigenmode structure to become significant near major rhythms, primarily the alpha peak; again, higher-*f* members of initially degenerate pairs should be frontally concentrated. The most promising mechanism overall relies on corticothalamic resonances to

produce the major rhythms, and a distribution of CT delays  $t_0$  to produce substructure in these resonances. This mechanism predicts correlated substructure in both the alpha and beta peaks, accounts for relative frequencies of peaks, and sleep–wake differences. It also predicts a inverse relationship between peak sharpness and spatial attenuation.

Overall, we thus conclude that the effects of spatial cortical eigenmodes are relatively weak for physiologically realistic parameters, except perhaps in very narrow parameter regimes. In any event, they only appear to be significant in frequency ranges in which corticothalamic resonances have weakened the wave dissipation to the point that spatial eigenmodes are weakly damped. The most robust signatures of cortical modes are expected to be the  $f^{-2}$  enhancement at very low frequencies in marginally stable systems, and possible substructure in the alpha peak with specific spatial properties that contrast with those expected from other mechanisms. From the perspective of numerical modeling, we conclude that convolutions and other cortical irregularities and detailed boundary conditions are not very important in determining the form of the spectrum under most circumstances.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors thank J.J. Wright for his constructive comments on the paper.

- [1] P.A. Robinson, C.J. Rennie, and J.J. Wright, Phys. Rev. E 56, 826 (1997).
- [2] P.A. Robinson, C.J. Rennie, J.J. Wright, and P.D. Bourke, Phys. Rev. E 58, 3557 (1998).
- [3] C.J. Rennie, P.A. Robinson, and J.J. Wright, Phys. Rev. E 59, 3320 (1999).
- [4] C.J. Rennie, J.J. Wright, and P.A. Robinson, J. Theor. Biol. **205**, 17 (2000).
- [5] P.A. Robinson, C.J. Rennie, J.J. Wright, H. Bahramali, E. Gordon, and D.L. Rowe, Phys. Rev. E (to be published).
- [6] J.J. Wright and D.T.L. Liley, Behav. Brain Sci. **19**, 285 (1997).
- [7] P.L. Nunez, *Electric Fields of the Brain* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1981).
- [8] P.L. Nunez, in *Neocortical Dynamics and Human EEG Rhythms*, edited by P.L. Nunez (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995), Chaps. 1 and 9.
- @9# E. Niedermeyer, in *Electroencephalography: Basic Principles, Clinical Applications, and Related Fields*, 4th ed., edited by E. Niedermeyer and F.H. Lopes da Silva (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1999), Chaps. 9, 13, and 27.
- [10] R. Srinivasan, P.L. Nunez, and R.B. Silberstein, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 45, 814 (1998).
- [11] M. Feucht, U. Moller, H. Witte, K. Schmidt, M. Arnold, F. Benninger, K. Steinberger, and M.H. Friedrich, Cereb. Cortex **8**, 524 (1998).
- [12] C.J. Stam, J.P.M. Pijn, P. Suffczynski, and F.H. Lopes da Silva, Clin. Neurophysiol. **110**, 1801 (1999).
- [13] F.H. Lopes da Silva, J.E. Vos, J. Mooibroek, and A. van Rotterdam, Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. **50**, 449  $(1980).$
- [14] D.L. Robinson, Int. J. Neurosci. **22**, 81 (1983).
- [15] M. Steriade, P. Gloor, R.R. Llinás, F.H. Lopes da Silva, and M.-M. Mesulam, Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. **76**, 481 (1990).
- [16] G. Buzsáki, Neuroscience (Oxford) **41**, 351 (1991).
- [17] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* edited by M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1970).
- @18# P.A. Robinson, J.J. Wright, and C.J. Rennie, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 4578 (1998).
- [19] J.J. Wright, A.A. Sergejew, and H.G. Stampfer, Brain Topogr. **2**, 293 (1990).
- [20] A.P. Prudnikov, Y.A. Brychkov, and O.I. Marichev, *Integrals* and Series (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986), Vols. 1 and 2.